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## Parts of Speech (POS)

Role of parts-of-speech in grammar

- 'preterminals'
- Rules stated in terms of classes of words sharing syntactic properties

noun<br>verb<br>adjective

## Parts of Speech (POS)

The distributional hypothesis: Words that appear in similar contexts have similar representations (and similar meanings)

Substitution test for POS: if a word is replaced by another word, does the sentence remain grammatical?

He noticed the elephant before anybody else
dog
cat
point
features
*what
*and

## Substitution Test

These can often be too strict; some contexts admit substitutability for some pairs but not others.

He noticed the elephant before anybody else


## Parts of Speech (POS)

| Nouns | People, places, things, actions-made-nouns ("I like <br> swimming"). Inflected for singular/plural |
| :--- | :--- |
| Verbs | Actions, processes. Inflected for tense, aspect, <br> number, person |
| Adjectives | Properties, qualities. Usually modify nouns |
| Adverbs | Qualify the manner of verbs ("She ran downhill <br> extremely quickly yesterday") |
| Determiner | Mark the beginning of a noun phrase ("a dog") |
| Pronouns | Refer to a noun phrase (he, she, it) |
| Prepositions | Indicate spatial/temporal relationships (on the table) |
| Conjunctions | Conjoin two phrases, clauses, sentences (and, or) |

## POS Tag Sets (Categories)

Most influential tag sets were those defined for projects to produce large POS-annotated corpora:

## Brown corpus

- 1 million words from variety of genres
- 87 tags

UPenn Tree Bank

- initially 1 million words of Wall Street Journal
- later retagged Brown
- first POS tags, then full parses
- 45 tags (some distinctions captured in parses)

Cross-lingual considerations for POS tags

## Penn Treebank POS Tags

| Tag | Description | Example | Tag | Description | Example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC | coordin. conjunction | and, but, or | SYM | symbol |  |
| CD | cardinal number | one, two | TO | "to" | to |
| DT | determiner | $a$, the | UH | interjection | ah, oops |
| EX | existential 'there' | there | VB | verb base form | eat |
| FW | foreign word | mea culpa | VBD | verb past tense | ate |
| IN | preposition/sub-conj | of, in, by | VBG | verb gerund | eating |
| JJ | adjective | yellow | VBN | verb past participle | eaten |
| JJR | adj., comparative | bigger | VBP | verb non-3sg pres | eat |
| JJS | adj., superlative | wildest | VBZ | verb 3sg pres | eats |
| LS | list item marker | 1, 2, One | WDT | wh-determiner | which, that |
| MD | modal | can, should | WP | wh-pronoun | what, who |
| NN | noun, sing. or mass | llama | WP\$ | possessive wh- | whose |
| NNS | noun, plural | llamas | WRB | wh-adverb | how, where |
| NNP | proper noun, sing. | IBM | \$ | dollar sign | \$ |
| NNPS | proper noun, plural | Carolinas | \# | pound sign | \# |
| PDT | predeterminer | all, both | " | left quote | 'or " |
| POS | possessive ending | 's |  | right quote | 'or" |
| PRP | personal pronoun | I, you, he | ( | left parenthesis | [, (, $, 1,<$ |
| PRP\$ | possessive pronoun | your, one's | ) | right parenthesis | ], ), \}, > |
| RB | adverb | quickly, never |  | comma |  |
| RBR | adverb, comparative | faster | . | sentence-final punc | !? |
| RBS | adverb, superlative | fastest | : | mid-sentence punc | :; ... |
| RP | particle | $u p, o f f$ |  |  |  |

Penn Treebank POS Tags

## Verbs

| Tag | Description | Examples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VB | base form (found in imperatives, infinities and subjunctives) | - Just do it <br> - You should do it <br> - He wants to do it |
| VBD | past tense | - He ate the food |
| VBG | present participle (Verb forms in the gerund or present participle; generally end in -ing) | - He was going to the store <br> - She is implementing the algorithm |
| VBN | past participle | - The apple was eaten <br> - He had expected to go |
| VBP | present (non 3rd-sing) | - I am the food <br> - You are tall <br> - We are tall <br> - They do the job |
| VBZ | present (3rd-sing) | - She is tall <br> - He likes ice cream |
| MD | modal verbs <br> (All verbs that don't take ending in third-person singular present) | - can, could, dare, may, might, must, ought, shall, should, will, would |


| Tag | Description | Examples |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| NN | non-proper, singular or mass | the company |
| NNS | non-proper, plural | the companies |
| NNP | proper, singular | Carolina |
| NNPS | proper, plural | Carolinas |

## RP (Particle)

Used in combination with a verb

- She turned the paper over
verb + particle $=$ phrasal verb, often non-compositional
- turn down, rule out, find out, go on


## DT and PDT

DT (Articles)

- Articles (a, the, every, no)
- Indefinite determiners (another, any, some, each)
- That, these, this, those when preceding noun
- All, both when not preceding another determiner or possessive pronoun

65548 the/dt 26970 a/dt 4405 an/dt 3115 this/dt 2117 some/dt 2102 that/dt 1274 all/dt 1085 any/dt 953 no/dt 778 those/dt

PDT (Predeterminer)

- Determiner-like words that precede an article or possessive pronoun
- all his marbles
- both the girls
- such a good time

263 all/pdt
114 such/pdt
84 half/pdt
24 both/pdt
7 quite/pdt
2 many/pdt
1 nary/pdt

## PRP and PRP\$

PRP (personal pronoun)

- Personal pronouns (I, me, you, he, him, it, etc.)
- Reflective pronouns (ending in -self): himself, herself
- Nominal possessive pronouns: mine, yours, hers

PRP\$ (possessive pronouns)

- Adjectival possessive forms: my, their, its, his, her

7854 it/prp 4601 he/prp 3260 they/prp 2323 his/prp\$
1792 we/prp
1584 i/prp
1001 you/prp 874 them/prp 694 she/prp
438 him/prp

5013 its/prp\$ 2364 their/prp\$
2323 his/prp\$
521 our/prp\$
430 her/prp\$
328 my/prp\$
269 your/prp\$
Adjectives
1563 last/jj1174 many/jj1142 such/jj
1058 first/jj
JJ (Adjectives)824 major/jj- General adjectives (happy person, new house)715 federal/jj
698 next/jj- Ordinal numbers (fourth cat)644 financial/jj
1498 more/jjr518 higher/j
432 lower/jj
JJR (Comparative adjectives)285 less/jjr- Adjectives with a comparative ending -er and comparative meaning 158 better/j(happier person)

- More and less (when used as adjectives) (more mail)

136 smaller/
122 earlier/ 112 greater/ 93 larger/j 75 bigger/j

## JJS (Superlative adjectives)

695 most/jjs

- Adjectives with a superlative ending -est and superlative mean 428 least/jjs (happiest person)
- Most and least (when used as adjectives) (most mail)

315 largest/jjs
299 latest/jjs
209 biggest/jjs
194 best/jjs
76 highest/jjs
63 worst/jjs
31 lowest/jjs
30 greatest/jjs
2002 other/jj1925 new/jj

## Adverbs

4410 n't/rb 2071 also/rb 1858 not/rb 1109 now/rb 1070 only/rb 1027 as/rb

RB (Adverbs)
961 even/rb 839 so/rb
810 about/rb 804 still/rb

1121 more/rbr 516 earlier/rbr 192 less/rbr
88 further/rbr
82 lower/rbr
75 better/rbr
65 higher/rbr
57 longer/rbr
53 later/rbr
34 faster/rbr
549 most/rbs
21 best/rbs
9 least/rbs
8 hardest/rbs
2 most/rbs|jjs
1 worst/rbs
1 rbs/nnp
1 highest/rbs
1 earliest/rbs

## IN and CC

IN (preposition, subordinating conjunction)

- All prepositions (except to) and subordinating conjunctions
- He jumped on the table because he was excited


## CC (coordinating conjunction)

- And, but, not, or
- Math operators (plus, minor, less, times)
- For (meaning "because")
- he asked to be transferred, for he was unhappy

31111 of/in 22967 in/in 11425 for/in 7181 on/in 6684 that/in 6399 at/in 6229 by/in 5940 from/in 5874 with/in 5239 as/in

22362 and/cc 4604 but/cc 3436 or/cc 1410 \&/cc 94 nor/cc 68 either/cc 53 yet/cc 53 plus/cc 37 both/cc 32 neither/cc

## The POS Tagging Task

## Task: assigning a POS to each word

not trivial: many words have several tags
dictionary only lists possible POS, independent of context


Fruit flies like a banana


Time flies like an arrow

## Why Tag?

POS tagging can help parsing by reducing ambiguity
Can resolve some pronunciation ambiguities for text-to-speech ("desert" - noun: /'dezərt/, verb: /dr'zzrt/ )

Can resolve some semantic ambiguities


## Some Tricky Cases

## JJ or VBN

- If it is gradable (can insert "very") = JJ
- He was very surprised
- If can be followed by a "by" phrase = VBN. If that conflicts with \#1 above, then = JJ
- He was invited by some friends of her
- He was very surprised by her remarks

VBN
J

## JJ or NNP/NNPS

- Proper names can be adjectives or nouns
- French cuisine is delicious
- The French tend to be inspired cooks


## Some Tricky Cases

## NN or VBG

- Only nouns can be modified by adjectives; only gerunds(-ing) can be modified by adverbs
- Good cooking is something to enjoy
- Cooking well is a useful skill $\square$

IN or RP

- If it can precede or follow the noun phrase $=$ RP
- She told off her friends
- She told her friends off
- If it must precede the noun phrase $=I N$
- She stepped off the train
- *She stepped the train off


## Quiz [SLP2]

Find the tagging errors in the following sentences:

# I/PRP need/VBP a/DT flight/NN from/IN Atlanta/NN 

Does/VBZ this/DT flight/NN serve/VB dinner/NNS

I/PRP have/VB a/DT friend/NN living/VBG in/IN Denver/NNP

Can/VBP you/PRP list/VB the/DT nonstop/JJ afternoon/NN flights/NNS

## Quiz [SLP2]

Find the tagging errors in the following sentences:

# I/PRP need/VBP a/DT flight/NN from/IN Atlanta/NN 

NNP

Does/VBZ this/DT flight/NN serve/VB dinner/NNS

I/PRP have/VB a/DT friend/NN living/VBG in/IN Denver/NNP VBP

Can/VBP you/PRP list/VB the/DT nonstop/JJ afternoon/NN flights/NNS

## POS Tagging Methods

Similar to text classification, we would like to use machine learning methods to do POS tagging.

Using supervised learning, we need to assemble a text corpus and manually annotate the POS for every word in the corpus (i.e., the Brown corpus) (i.e., the corpus-based methods).

- We can divide the corpus into training data, development data and test data

To build a good corpus

- we must define a task people can do reliably (choose a suitable POS set)
- we must provide good documentation for the task
- so annotation can be done consistently
- we must measure human performance (through dual annotation and interannotator agreement)
- Often requires several iterations of refinement


## The Simplest POS Tagging Method

We tag each word with its most likely part-of-speech (based on the training data)

- this works quite well: about 90\% accuracy when trained and tested on similar texts
- although many words have multiple parts of speech, one POS typically dominates within a single text type


## How can we take advantage of context to do better?

## POS Tagger As Sequence Labeling

Sequence labeling: given a sequence of observations $x=$ $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, we need to assign a label/type/class $y_{i}$ for each observation $x_{i} \in x$, leading to the sequence label $y=$ $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ for $x\left(y_{i} \in Y\right)(Y$ is the set of possible POS tags)

For POS tagging, $x$ can be an input sentence where $x_{i}$ is the $i$-th word in the sentence, and $y_{i}$ can be the POS tag of $x_{i}$ in $x$ ( $Y$ is the set of the possible POS tags in our data). E.g.,

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
x=\text { Does } & \text { this } & \text { flight } & \text { serve } & \text { dinner } \\
y=\text { VBZ } & \text { DT } & \text { NN } & \text { VB } & \text { NN }
\end{array}
$$

## Sequence Labeling

As in text classification, we also want to estimate the distribution from the training data:

$$
P(y \mid x)=P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

So, we can also obtain the predicted label sequence for $x$ by:

$$
y^{*}=\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(y \mid x)=\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

## Hidden Markov Model (HMM)

Using Bayes' Rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(y \mid x) & =\operatorname{argmax}_{y} \frac{P(x \mid y) P(y)}{P(x)} \\
& =\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(x \mid y) P(y) \\
& =\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

First-order Markov assumption: the probability of the label for the current step only depends on the label from the previous step, so:

$$
P\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\prod_{t=1}^{n} P\left(y_{t} \mid y_{<t}\right)=\prod_{t=1}^{n} P\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right)
$$

Independency assumption: the probability of the current word is only dependent on its label:

$$
P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\prod_{t=1}^{n} P\left(x_{t} \mid x_{<t}, y\right)=\prod_{t=1}^{n} P\left(x_{t} \mid y_{t}\right)
$$

So, in HMM, we need to obtain two types of probabilities:

- The transition probabilities: $P\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right)$
- The emission probabilities: $P\left(x_{t} \mid y_{t}\right)$


## Parameter Estimation

Using Maximum Likelihood Estimators as in Naïve Bayes (i.e., just counting):

How many times $y_{t-1}$ and $y_{t}$ appear together in the training data?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right)=\frac{c\left(y_{t-1}, y_{t}\right)}{c\left(y_{t-1}\right)} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { How many times } y_{t-1} \text { appears in the } \\
\text { training data? }
\end{array} \\
P\left(x_{t} \mid y_{t}\right)=\frac{c\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)}{c\left(y_{t}\right)} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { How many times } x_{t} \text { appears with } y_{t} \text { in the } \\
\text { training data? }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

With smoothing:

$$
P\left(x_{t} \mid y_{t}\right)=\frac{\alpha+c\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)}{|Y| \alpha+c\left(y_{t}\right)}
$$

## Transition Probabilities

|  | NNP | MD | VB | JJ | NN | RB | DT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\langle s>$ | 0.2767 | 0.0006 | 0.0031 | 0.0453 | 0.0449 | 0.0510 | 0.2026 |
| NNP | 0.3777 | 0.0110 | 0.0009 | 0.0084 | 0.0584 | 0.0090 | 0.0025 |
| MD | 0.0008 | 0.0002 | 0.7968 | 0.0005 | 0.0008 | 0.1698 | 0.0041 |
| VB | 0.0322 | 0.0005 | 0.0050 | 0.0837 | 0.0615 | 0.0514 | 0.2231 |
| JJ | 0.0366 | 0.0004 | 0.0001 | 0.0733 | 0.4509 | 0.0036 | 0.0036 |
| NN | 0.0096 | 0.0176 | 0.0014 | 0.0086 | 0.1216 | 0.0177 | 0.0068 |
| RB | 0.0068 | 0.0102 | 0.1011 | 0.1012 | 0.0120 | 0.0728 | 0.0479 |
| DT | 0.1147 | 0.0021 | 0.0002 | 0.2157 | 0.4744 | 0.0102 | 0.0017 |

Figure 10.5 The $A$ transition probabilities $P\left(t_{i} \mid t_{i-1}\right)$ computed from the WSJ corpus without smoothing. Rows are labeled with the conditioning event; thus $P(V B \mid M D)$ is 0.7968 .

## Emission Probabilities

|  | Janet | will | back | the | bill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NNP | 0.000032 | 0 | 0 | 0.000048 | 0 |
| MD | 0 | 0.308431 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VB | 0 | 0.000028 | 0.000672 | 0 | 0.000028 |
| JJ | 0 | 0 | 0.000340 | 0.000097 | 0 |
| NN | 0 | 0.000200 | 0.000223 | 0.000006 | 0.002337 |
| RB | 0 | 0 | 0.010446 | 0 | 0 |
| DT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.506099 | 0 |

Figure 10.6 Observation likelihoods $B$ computed from the WSJ corpus without smoothing.

## Hidden State Network



## Decoding

Given the transition and emission probabilities $P\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right)$ and $P\left(x_{t} \mid y_{t}\right)$, we need to find the best label sequence $y^{*}=y_{1}^{*}, y_{2}^{*}, \ldots, y_{n}^{*}$ for the input sentence $x=x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ via:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{*} & =\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(y \mid x) \\
& =\operatorname{argmax}_{y} \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x)}=\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P(x, y) \\
& =\operatorname{argmax}_{y} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This requires the enumeration over all the possible label sequences (paths) $y$ which are exponentially large

- E.g., using Penn Treebank with 45 tags
- A sentence of length 5 would have $45^{5}=184,528,15$ possible sequences
- A sentence of length 20 would have $45^{20}=1.16 \mathrm{e} 33$ possible sequences


## Greedy Decoder

simplest decoder (tagger) assign tags deterministically from left to right
selects $y_{t}^{*}$ to maximize $P\left(x_{t} \mid y_{t}\right) * P\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right)$
does not take advantage of right context
can we do better?

## Viterbi Algorithm

Basic idea: if an optimal path through a sequence uses label $L$ at time $t$, then it must have used an optimal path to get to label $L$ at time $t$

We can thus discard all non-optimal paths up to label $L$ at time $t$

Let $v_{t}(s)$ be the probability that the HMM is in state (label) $s$ after seeing the first $t$ observations (words) and passing through the most probable state sequence $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t-1}$ :

$$
v_{t}(s)=\max _{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t-1}} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t-1}, y_{t}=s\right)
$$

Introducing the start and end states to represent the beginning and the end of the sentences ( $y_{0}=$ start, $y_{n+1}=$ end $)$, the probability for the optimal label sequence would be:

$$
v_{n+1}(\text { end })=\max _{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}=\text { start }, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}, y_{n+1}=\text { end }\right)
$$

## Viterbi Algorithm

$v_{t}(s)=\max _{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t-1}} P\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{t}, y_{0}=\operatorname{start}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{t-1}, y_{t}=s\right)$
Initialization $(t=0)$ :

$$
v_{0}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } s=\text { start } \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recurrence ( $t>0$ ):

$$
v_{t}(s)=\max _{s^{\prime} \in Y}\left[v_{t-1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) P\left(s \mid s^{\prime}\right) P\left(x_{t} \mid s\right)\right]
$$

$$
\operatorname{backtrack}_{t}(s)=\operatorname{argmax}_{s^{\prime} \in Y}\left[v_{t-1}\left(s^{\prime}\right) P\left(s \mid s^{\prime}\right) P\left(x_{t} \mid s\right)\right]
$$

Termination $(t=n+1)$ : the optimal probability is $v_{n+1}(e n d)$, following the backtrack links (starting at backtrack $n_{n+1}(e n d)$ ) to retrieve the optimal path.

## Example

Fish sleep


## Word Emission Probabilities

## Word Emission Probabilities P (word \| state )

A two-word language: "fish" and "sleep"
Suppose in our training corpus,

- "fish" appears 8 times as a noun and 5 times as a verb
- "sleep" appears twice as a noun and 5 times as a verb

Emission probabilities:

- Noun
- P(fish | noun): 0.8
- P(sleep | noun) : 0.2
- Verb
- P(fish | verb): 0.5
- P(sleep | verb) : 0.5


## Viterbi Probabilities

0
1
2
3
start
verb
noun
end

start
1
verb
0
noun 0
end
0











## Complexity for Viterbi

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { time }=O\left(s^{2} n\right) \\
\text { for } s \text { states (labels) and } n \text { words }
\end{gathered}
$$

(Relatively fast: for 40 states and 20 words, 32,000 steps)

